

**SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR THE MEETING OF THE WOOL INDUSTRY EDP USERS GROUP
HELD ON TUESDAY THE 3rd OF FEBRUARY 2025****SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES****1. PIC Update Document**

- 1.1. The update to the document provides a way to advise AWTA of updated PIC's after the TRV has been sent. It also enables DMFR updates after the TRV's have been sent. If the TC has been issued continue to use the DRV document for DMFR updates.
- 1.2. It was noted that record 85 is conditional, not compulsory.
- 1.3. The group consensus was to accept the changes.

2. Yield Type Editorial Changes

- 2.1. The notes for the document will be updated to cater for blanks or zeros. Nothing is changing, it is simply an editorial change to make the handbook match what is currently occurring.

3. WDO Marks

- 3.1. There are currently twenty lines of marks catered for in the standards. There are no specifications as to which order they are applied. Where do they apply on the bale? The proposal is to eliminate the three levels of marks and to specify the ordering of the marking. It would bring it down to a single array at the lot level.
- 3.2. It was suggested it could be too much change for the 80 character formats, maybe leave to the JSON standards when they are implemented.
- 3.3. NCWSBA, AWH and Talman supported the change.
- 3.4. The change would need sign off from ACWEP.

4. Catalogues - AC & PC

- 4.1. The proposal in the paper was outlined seeking input from the group.
- 4.2. It was noted the paper stated that AWEX blocked a previous proposal, this was not the case. The issue was raised in a WIEDPUG meeting in 2023 and the group consensus was to use the eBale field to identify eBale lots.
- 4.3. AWEX requested any concerns with eBale data transmission to be forwarded to them.
- 4.4. A request to include ABN in the AC was made.
- 4.5. It was suggested that brokers would have an issue with providing the ABN.

5. Transfer of Ownership / RPP

- 5.1. The paper outlined a proposal to send a transmission to AWTA nominating where the wool is stored and advise the transfer of ownership.
- 5.2. It was noted that the use of the RPP document has dropped off significantly.
- 5.3. The proposal is not a simple implementation, it would be asking AWTA to validate the data.
- 5.4. A starting point could be writing to NCWBA to alert them of the importance of the RPP document.
- 5.5. AWTA would have to add storage location to its data to handle the RPP document having storage location added.

6. Standards Review Update

- 6.1. Project Update
 - 6.1.1. The samples in GitHub have been populated.
 - 6.1.2. The encryption endpoints have been finalised.

- 6.1.3. It was noted that about 2-3 days will be required from Jade for first version update in GitHub.
- 6.1.4. Final payment has been made to Jade and the project has been concluded with them.
- 6.1.5. It is estimated that it will take the Chair and Secretary 6-8 months to rewrite the business rules in GitHub.

6.2. API Versioning

- 6.2.1. The versioning document from Jade was distributed to representatives for review.
- 6.2.2. It was enquired if there would be versions at a document level. It was advised there would be a single version used for all documents.
- 6.2.3. It was enquired if there will be any data validation done. AWTA will validate data structures but not data fields.
- 6.2.4. It was noted that as the group agreed there is no split and stitch the hard version changes will remain.
- 6.2.5. The group agreed on the versioning recommendations from Jade.

6.3. Effort required to perform a full review of the Open API standard

- 6.3.1. It was agreed that Jade produced the documentation as per the scope of the project.
- 6.3.2. It would be pertinent to modernise the document as outlined in paper.
- 6.3.3. Each of the suggested changes in the paper were reviewed by the group.
- 6.3.4. Naming Conventions:
 - 6.3.4.1. Consensus by group to complete the work.
 - 6.3.4.2. Updates considered to be low risk.
- 6.3.5. Common Objects:
 - 6.3.5.1. Consensus by group to complete the work.
 - 6.3.5.2. Estimate may need to change.
 - 6.3.5.3. Updates considered to be low risk.
- 6.3.6. Standard Header:
 - 6.3.6.1. Low priority.
 - 6.3.6.2. Group agreed that this had already been reviewed in the Jade project.
- 6.3.7. Object Reorganisation:
 - 6.3.7.1. Consensus by group to complete the work.
 - 6.3.7.2. Need to itemise what to target, could be a large amount of work.
 - 6.3.7.3. High risk, do not remove if it is being used.
- 6.3.8. Data definition review:
 - 6.3.8.1. Consensus by group to complete the work.
 - 6.3.8.2. Updates considered to be low risk.
- 6.3.9. API Consolidation:
 - 6.3.9.1. Group agreed that this has already been reviewed by Jade.
- 6.3.10. Code Samples:
 - 6.3.10.1. Consensus by group to generate code samples for each API.
 - 6.3.10.2. No risk.
- 6.3.11. Collaboration and reporting:

6.3.11.1. The group agreed to form a working group and provide regular updates to WIEDPUG.

6.3.12. It was noted that the timeline did not incorporate collaboration and seeking agreement from the group, this will need several weeks. The changes will also need to be implemented in GitHub. It is expected that the project will be much more than the 14 weeks outlined on the paper.

6.3.13. WIEDPUG will approve all changes before implementation.

6.4. Next Steps & Timelines

6.4.1. Business Rules Update

6.4.1.1. The Chair and Secretary will start updating the business rules.

6.4.2. Full review of API Standards (pending discussion in meeting)

6.4.2.1. Agreed in this meeting to proceed.

6.4.3. Testing Plans

6.4.3.1. It is possible that initial testing will be available in Q4 2025.

6.4.3.2. The group agreed that an indicative go live date is mid-2027.

7. Other Business

7.1. Next handbook release

7.1.1. The group agreed on a new version number 38.0 with a release date of 4 August 2025.

8. Next Meetings

10:00am AEST Monday the 7th of April 2025

10:00am AEST Monday the 16th of June 2025

10:00am AEST Monday the 22nd of September 2025

10:00am AEDT Monday the 2nd of February 2026