# SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR THE MEETING OF THE WOOL INDUSTRY EDP USERS GROUP HELD ON TUESDAY THE 31st OF JANUARY 2023

## SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES

### 1. TCR Request Options Improvement

- 1.1. The submission is to modify and add definitions to the print flag field in the TCR document.
- 1.2. The new options will be marked as Australia only.
- 1.3. The group consensus was to include the changes in the next handbook release.

### 2. General Updates

- 2.1. Wool Industry Organisation Code Extracts
  - 2.1.1. Dr Morgan was running late and not in attendance at the time, in a discussion with the Chair prior to the meeting it was requested to withdraw the item, it will be discussed with AWTA and added in the future if required.
- 2.2. EDI Subscribers EDI/FTP Passwords
  - 2.2.1. It was advised that all passwords have been changed, the group was thanked for their support, the item will be closed.
- 2.3. Software Identifier & Software Company data dictionary Updates (John Billing)
  - 2.3.1. A request was made to update the software companies listed in the data dictionary.
  - 2.3.2. The group agreed on the following assignments:
    - 1.Talman
    - 2.Symbotic
    - 3.
    - 4.Jemalong
    - 5.
    - 6.Modiano
    - 7.AWH
    - 8.AWTA
- 2.4. Ebale ID Inclusion in TRV
  - 2.4.1. It was noted that the ebale ID field was in the TC but not the TRV.
  - 2.4.2. AWTA do not receive the Ebale ID in the TRV. Does the 84 record need to stay in the TC?
  - 2.4.3. If included the field needs to be optional, only provided if the broker wants to provide it.
  - 2.4.4. There is a large impact for AWTA systems to store the data, it needs to be scoped with industry by AWTA if it is required in the TC.
  - 2.4.5. The item will be added to the agenda for next meeting.
- 2.5. E-Pack Identification in record 84 / Ebale ID Field change to AN
  - 2.5.1. There has been several queries as to how Ebale lots can be identified in the AC document, could the Ebale ID field being populated be sufficient or is a new field required? A separate field may be required in case the field is not populated for some reason?
  - 2.5.2. It was enquired if dumps would require the separate field to identify bales that are ebales, AWH advised they would not need the field as dump staff will be able to see which bales are ebales.
  - 2.5.3. The group consensus was that a separate field was not required.

2.5.4. The change of the field to AN was due to a change in the Ebale ID format, the group consensus was to change the field to AN.

#### 3. Other Business

#### 3.1. 84 Record Exclusion in AC

3.1.1. Currently the AWTA EDI network returns an error when excluding the 84 record, the handbook allows it, but the network rejects the file.

## 3.2. Make 84 Record Optional in AC

- 3.2.1. A request was made to change the 84 record loop and data to optional in the AC document.
- 3.2.2. The group consensus was to change the 84 record to optional in the AC document.

## 3.3. Request to set up a working group to look at delivery order issues

- 3.3.1. A request was made to set up a working group to look at delivery order issues which included the following:
  - change of ownership;
  - sending many requests to the financing org; and
  - sending to orgs other than the releasing org.
- 3.3.2. The group agreed to form a working group, Mr Billing will be the group lead, other representatives on the working are Mr Potter, Mr Drake, Mr Potts and Ms Blyton.

#### 3.4. Industry Update on Standards Review Project

3.4.1. A request was made to inform industry on the progress of the Standards Review Update project.

### 3.5. Handbook Release

3.5.1. The new version number for the handbook release will be 36.1 with no new version date. The release will be on the 24th July 2023.

#### 4. Next Meetings

9:00am AEST Tuesday the 7th of February 2023 (Standards Review continuation)

9:00am AEST Tuesday the 18th of April 2023

9:00am AEST Tuesday the 6th of June 2023

9:00am AEDT Tuesday the 10th of October 2023

9:00am AEDT Tuesday the 30th of January 2024